CF-1006 (1) (3)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILA-SPUR (CHHATTISGARH)

WRIT PETITION No. 164 OF 2004

PETITIONER: Sukhdeo Ram Koreti, son of C.R.Koreti, aged about 49 years, occupation: Joint Director, Public Relation Directorate, Raipur (C.G).

Vs.

RESPONDENTS :

1. State of Chhattisgarh: Through: Chief Secretary, D.K.Bhawan,Raipur.

2. Principal Secretary, Public Relation Department, D.K.Bhawan,Ra-ipur.

3. Director, Public Relation Department, In front of Mahila P.S., Raipur (C.G)

4. Umesh Chandra Dwivedi, Additional Director, Public Relation Department, Directorate, in front of Mahila P.S. Raipur (C.G).

5. Subhash Mishra, Additional Director, Public Relation Department,Directorate, in front of Mahila P.S. Raipur (C.G)

P. R. No. 170/04
Presented by Shri Sapna Kshatriya
dated 13.01.04

RECEIVED COPY
13/1/04
CHHATTISGARH G. Bilaspur

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

(52)

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

W.P.No.164/2004

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	21.01.2004 Shri Shailesh Ahuja, counsel for the petitioner. Shri Sanjay K. Agrawal, Dy. Advocate General for the State. By this writ petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner has challenged the order dated 14th October 2003(Annexure P-1) passed by the Principal Secfetary, Government of Chhattisgarh. The main grievance of the petitioner is that the junior persons i.e. respondents No. 4 & 5 have been promoted even though they belong to the general category, whereas, the petitioner belongs to a category of Schedule Tribe. Being the senior-most, he deserves the promotion to the rank of Additional Director, but his case has not been considered and without considering his case the junior persons have been promoted. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he has already made a representation(Annexure P-3) which has not been decided by the respondent No. 1. Therefore, the respondent No. 1 may be directed to decide the representation(Annexure P-3) already made by the petitioner to which learned Dy. Advocate General has no objection, but he submits that the promotions have already been	

XI-HC-22

(53)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित (2)	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

made and the respondents No. 4 & 5 have already been promoted as Additional Director.

A Having heard learned counsel for the parties, having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, respondent No. 1 is directed to decide the representation(Annexure P-1) of the petitioner, which he has already submitted on 23.10.2003 and pass an appropriate order, considering all the points raised by the petitioner within a period of 30 days.

With the above direction, the petition stands disposed of.

In view of the above order, I.A.No.413/2004 stands disposed of.

Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-
L.C. Bhadoo
Judge

Roshan/
SG

[पीछे देखिये